

---

**From:** Carvalho, Gabriela  
**To:** Wu, Jennifer; Liu, Linda  
**CC:** Henning, Alan; Helder, Dirk; Peterson, Erik; Woodruff, Leigh  
**Sent:** 7/3/2014 11:28:15 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Oregon CZARA and Pesticides

Thanks for this summary and list of questions, Jennifer. Linda and I will put our heads together to get answers for your team.

Have a great holiday weekend!

Gabriela

Gabriela Carvalho  
Pesticides and Toxics Unit  
U.S. EPA, Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, OCE-084  
Seattle, WA 98101  
phone (206) 553-6698  
Carvalho.Gabriela@epa.gov

**From:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 02, 2014 4:58 PM  
**To:** Carvalho, Gabriela; Liu, Linda  
**Cc:** Henning, Alan; Helder, Dirk; Peterson, Erik; Woodruff, Leigh  
**Subject:** Oregon CZARA and Pesticides

Hi Linda and Gabriela (FYI to Pesticide Team, no action needed),

Linda, as in my voicemail, I'm working on Oregon CZARA and pesticide buffers. We're in the middle of reviewing and responding to comments we received from EPA and NOAA's public notice on 12/20/13 on the NOI to disapprove Oregon's CZARA program. I was able to connect with Gabriela just now.

I'll send out a meeting invite to discuss more in a couple of weeks **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** But I thought it would be useful to let you both know a few things:

- 1) FYI - Our Oregon CZARA Pesticide Team (Alan, Dirk Helder, Erik Peterson, and Leigh Woodruff) will need to recommend to our management by August 14 whether we should approve or disapprove based on the pesticide buffers issue. We may also have the option to keep our decision somewhat neutral pending the litigation. Pesticide buffers is 1 of 7 measures being considered. So we'll be wanting to keep you informed and also get your feedback on certain issues.
- 2) Specifically, there are a few questions. Sorry if you've already answered these before.
  - a. The threshold for our disapproval was that the State does not have buffers for aerial application of herbicides on non-fish bearing streams.
  - b. I have questions related to the 1) Current pesticide labels and Court orders/BiOps 2) Scientific considerations in pesticide labels; 3) States developing their own buffers that are more stringent than FIFRA labels
  - c. My questions on this are:
    - i. Current Pesticide Labels. Based on Gabriela's August 16, 2013 write-up, it appears that aerial application of atrazine is not allowed, and the pesticide labels are silent on buffers for aerial application of 2,4-D, though there's general information on how it should be applied. Can you confirm?
    - ii. Current Pesticide Labels. What are the pesticide label requirements for aerial application of glyphosate?
    - iii. Court Orders/BiOps. Do the BiOps/court orders or related litigation outcomes speak to

aerial application of herbicides on non-fish bearing streams? Are there court-mandated buffers for aerial application of atrazine, 2,4-D, or glyphosate?

iv. Scientific Considerations in Pesticide Labels. How does FIFRA take into account endangered species and human health risks when developing its labels? Are there write-ups specific to the pesticide labels for atrazine, 2,4-D, and glyphosate with scientific papers we can have access to? The point of this would be to see if the basis of the pesticide labels already considered scenarios that are brought up in the comments.

v. States Developing Their Own Buffers. While FIFRA clearly states that adhering to the label means meeting FIFRA requirements, are there other thresholds in CZARA such as needing to meet state water quality standards that would mean the State would need to come up with more restrictive pesticide buffer applications? For instance, WA and ID have state laws that require buffers when applying pesticides on non-fish bearing streams that are above and beyond FIFRA. Linda and Gabriela, are you involved in conversations with the State when they choose to apply more restrictive buffers than required by FIFRA?

FYI to Everyone, I've attached the matrix of comments we got related to pesticides. The tabs at the bottom also break down each of the comments by their sub-category. Gabriela's August 16, 2013 write-up is also attached above.

Thanks, and if these questions are relatively easy, feel free to reply by email. Otherwise, we can talk when I get back.

Jenny Wu

USEPA Region 10

Office of Water and Watersheds (OWW-134)

Environmental Engineer, Watershed Unit

1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900

Seattle, WA 98101

(206)553-6328